

RONALD L GRUDZIECKI

F O BOX 1404

BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS

ALEXANDRIA VA 22314-1404



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/159,53	4 6972473	BERGLUND M	024444-551

GM21/0216

EXAMINER HOWELL, D

PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 3722

DATE MAILED:

02/16/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application	

Office	Action	Summary
OIIIG6	ALUVII	- Guillilli V

No. 09/159,584

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit **Daniel Howell**

3722

Berglund et al

the state of the s					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
☐ This action is FINAL .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _ is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a).	d within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	is/are allowed.				
X Claim(s) 1-15	is/are rejected.				
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
☐ Claims a	re subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review,	PTO-948.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by	the Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	approved disapproved.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
🛮 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
⊠ received.					
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)					
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 4, 5					
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
	·				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLO	WING PAGES				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLO	TITIO / AGES				

Copied from 09878240 on 05/16/2006

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/159,584

Art Unit: 3722

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EPO '806. See figures 8 and 13. Note front surfaces 21 and 34, opposed flutes leading to the tool shank, and coupling projections 23 and 35 which are used for a dove-tailed bayonet coupling.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 08/929,462. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common

Art Unit: 3722

subject matter, as follows: claims 1-15 of the present application are identical to claims 1-15 of 08/929,462 except that the present independent claims 1, 11, 13, and 15 recite the slightly narrower limitation of a dovetail-shaped bayonet coupling. Thus, claims 1-15 of the present application are clearly covered by the claims of 08/929,462.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

- 5. Claims 16 and 17 are allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Howell whose telephone number is (703) 308-1728.

DANIEL W. HOWELL RIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 322 3272

dwh

February 10, 1999